

पहिली खबर

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

फौजदारी प्रक्रिया संहितेच्या कलम १५४ अन्वये (Under Section 154 Cr. P.C.)

1. राज्य महाराष्ट्र, जिल्हा मुंबई, पोलीस ठाणे ला.प्र.वि., पहिली खबर क्र. ०८, वर्ष २०१८ दिनांक २३/०२/२०१८.
State District Police Station FIR No. Year Date
2. (i) अधिनियम Act. प्र.प्र. अधिनियम १९८८, कलमे Sections. ७
(ii) अधिनियम Act. मा.द.वि., कलमे Sections. ३३३, ४०४, ४०६, १५५, १८१, १८२.
(iii) इतर अधिनियम व कलमे Other Acts and Sections.
3. (a) अपराधाची घटना : वार भंगठवार, तारीख पासून २६/०२/२०१३ वेळ १२:००, तारीख पर्यंत २६/०२/१३, वेळ १२:००.
Occurrence of offence : Day Date from Time Date to Time
वेळेचा अवधी (प्रहर) (योग्य ठिकाणी अशी खूण करा) (Tick applicable portion).
(1) 00.00 ते 03.00 (2) 03.00 ते 06.00 (3) 06.00 ते 09.00 (4) 09.00 ते 12.00 (5) 12.00 ते 15.00
(6) 15.00 ते 18.00 (7) 18.00 ते 21.00 (8) 21.00 ते 24.00 (9) 06.00 ते 18.00 (5) 18.00 ते 06.00
(b) पो. ठा. ला माहिती मिळाल्याची तारीख २३/०२/२०१८, वेळ -
Information received at P. S. Date Time
(c) गुन्हा दाखल झालेला सर्वसाधारण स्टेशन डायरीचा नोंद क्र. २४/१८, तारीख २३/०२/२०१८, वेळ १५:३०
General Diary Reference : Entry No. Date Time
4. माहितीचा प्रकार Type of Information : लेखी अवेदना, लेखी / तोंडी Written / Oral
5. गुन्हा घडल्याचे ठिकाण (a) पोलीस ठाण्यापासून अंतर व दिशा ३२ किमी., चौकी / बीट / दूरक्षेत्र नाव व क्र. उतर दिशा
Place of Occurrence : (a) Direction and distance from P. S. Chouki/Beat/O.P. Name and No.
(b) गुन्हा घडल्याचे ठिकाणाचे नाव पाय रस्ता, दवाखाने मार्ग, खंबा, मूलक, मुंबई, मुंबई, मुंबई
Address of Occurrence Name No. if any Ward Name of road
जवळचे ओळख दिन्ह / ठिकाण गाव पोस्ट तालुका जिल्हा राज्य
Nearest identifiable place Village Post Taluka Dist. State
(c) पोलीस ठाणेचे हद्दीबाहेर असल्यास त्या पो.स्टे.चे नाव तालुका जिल्हा राज्य
In case, outside the limit of this Police Station Taluka Dist. State
6. तक्रारदार / खबर देणाराचे नाव व पत्ता Complainant / Informant : Permanent Address.
(a) नाव Name समिप खानी (b) वडिलांचे / पतीचे नाव मितीन खानी
Father's / Husband's Name
(c) जन्म तारीख व वय ३४ वर्ष (d) राष्ट्रीयत्व भारतीय फोन नं. ९९२०५८७७७४
Date of Birth and Age Nationality Phone No.
(e) पारपत्र क्रमांक - दिव्याचा दिनांक - दिव्याचे ठिकाण - (f) व्यवसाय -
Passport No. Date of Issue Place of Issue Occupation
(g) धर्म Religion हिंदू जात Caste - जनजात Sub-Caste -
(h) पत्ता - गल्ली / सार्डे नं. - नव नं. - फोन / मो. नं. - पॅन नं. - वोटर्स नं. -
Address : ८/५९२, अशाफादी रोड, सैय्यदुल्ला मार्ग. PAN No. Card No.
रस्त्याचे नाव नाशूर रुग्णालय (पो.स्ट. मुंबई), मुंबई, गाव पोस्ट पो. स्टे.
Road Nearest identifiable place Village Post P. Stn.
तालुका मुंबई जिल्हा मुंबई राज्य महाराष्ट्र सध्याचा पत्ता
Taluka Dist. State Present Address
7. माहिती असलेल्या आरोपीचे संपूर्ण नाव व पत्ता (आवश्यक असल्यास स्वतंत्र कागद जोडावा.) (संशयिताचे वर्णन प्र. ख. रिपोर्टच्या फॉर्म 1-B वर वेगळ्या शिटवर घेऊन तपासिक अंमलदाराच्या प्रथम खबरीला व सं. अभियोक्ता यांच्या फाईलला जोडावे.)
Details Name and Address of known Accused (Attach separate sheet, if necessary.) (If suspect particular of physical feature write on form 1-B of attach FIR 1-B to case diary.)
नाना हिरामण पवार, वय ४८ वर्ष, चेदा नोकरी (पो. ह. ३४९५/वाहतूक) वा. ठि.
मेरी गोल्ड, श्री प्लॅट क्र. १ कॅलासनागर, मम. आय. डी. सी. रोड, अंबरनाथ (पूर्व) जिल्हा
ठाणे



8. तक्रारदाराने / फिर्यादीने तक्रार करण्याकरिता झालेल्या विलंबाची कारणे
Reasons for delay in reporting by the Complainant/Informant
9. चोरीस गेलेल्या/अंतर्भूत मालमत्तेचा तपशील (आवश्यकतेनुसार नमुना फॉर्म जोडावा) सविस्तर मजकूर पाठीमागे लिहावे.
Particulars of properties stolen and involved (Attach necessary Proforma) write down details on blank back page.

10. (a) चोरीस गेलेल्या / अंतर्भूत मालमत्तेचे एकूण मूल्य
Total Value of properties stolen /involved
- (b) चोरीची मिळालेली मालमत्ता (Recovered Properties)
11. अकस्मात मृत्यू / अपघाती मृत्यू असल्यास त्याचा क्रमांक
Unnatural /Accidental Death Case No., if any
12. पहिल्या खबरीची थोडक्यात हकिगत (आवश्यक असल्यास स्वतंत्र कागद जोडावा) सविस्तर पाठीमागील कोऱ्या पानावर लिहावे.
First Information brief contents (Attach separate sheet, if required) : Detail write down back blank page.

यातील नमूद तारीख, वेळी व ठिकाणी यातील आरोपी लोकसेवक वाहक पो. अमेलदार म्हणून कामावर असताना यातील फिर्यादी यांनी त्याची सा/संख्येस समस्य-०३/२६-२३९९ "मोडेली" मध्ये आणण्याच्या कारणास्तव फिर्यादी वर मो/वाहन धारिनिप्रमाणे कारवाई न करण्याच्या कारणावरून फिर्यादीवर अनुग्रह दाखविल्याकरीता फिर्यादीकडे रु.५००/- लायेची भागणी केली. फिर्यादी यास हरकत घेतल्याने फिर्यादी पिकुय मूलेड पो. गजस भुंर. क्र. २२/२०१३ प्रमाणे गुन्हा नोंद केला. व त्याला शिथिलीगाळ करून गुन्हात कारवाईची हमकी दिली. सादरवाकत फिर्यादी यांनी मा. भाग्यालयात तक्रार दिली असता मा. भाग्यालयाच्या अधिकाऱ्याकडून सा. प्र. अ. क्र. कलम ५ सह, ३२०, ५००, ५०९, १००, १२९, १२९ सादरवाकत गुन्हा नोंद

13. केलेली कार्यवाही - 1. वरील फिर्यादीवरून कॉलम नं. 2 मधील कलमाप्रमाणे गुन्हा दाखल करून तपासावर घेतला, तपास करण्याचे आदेश दिले त्या तपासिक अंमलदाराचे नाव व हुदा :

पोलीस निरीक्षक, मनोज विलास गुजर (तपासी अधिकारी)

2. तपास नाकारण्यात आला त्याचे कारण,

3. दुसऱ्या पोलीस ठाण्याकडे वर्ग करण्यात आला. पोलीस स्टेशन, जिल्हा, राज्य

Action taken : Since the above information reveals Commission of offence (s) u/s as mentioned at Item No. 2 :

- (1) Registered the case and took up the Investigation or Directed (Name of I.O.) Rank No. to take up the Investigation or
- (2) Refused Investigation due to (3) Transferred to P. S. District on point of jurisdiction.

तक्रारदाराने / फिर्यादीने फिर्याद वाचून पाहिली. फिर्याद बरोबर लिहिली असल्याचे पाहून / वाचून / ऐकून बरोबर नोंदविली असल्याचे त्याने मान्य केले व त्याची एक प्रत त्यास विनामूल्य देण्यात आली.

F.I.R. read over to the Complainant / Informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the Complainant/ Informant, free of cost.

(R.O.A.C.)

14. तक्रारदाराची सही व अंगठा
Signature and Thumb impression of the Complainant/Informant.



पोलीस ठाणे प्रमारी अधिकाऱ्याची सही,
Signature of Officer in-charge, Police Station
संपूर्ण नाव Name मनोज विलास गुजर
पदनाम Rank पोलीस निरीक्षक
तपासिक अं. चा कोड क्र.

15. 1. न्यायालयास सादर केल्याची तारीख,.....
1. Date and time of submitted to the Court. Posting Code No. of I. O.

प्रत, 2. फिर्यादी, 3. पोलीस अधीक्षक (संगणक), 4. तपासिक अंमलदार, 5. स्थळ प्रत.
Copy to 2. Complainant, 3. Supdt. of Police (Computer), 4. Inv. Officer, 5. Office Copy.

Attachment to item 7 of First Information Report

197

IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE AND THE SESSIONS & DISTRICT
JUDGE OF MUMBAI AT MUMBAI

OMA No. _____/2013

MR. SAMIP NITIN RAJANI,
AGE: 28 YEARS, Occ: Service,
Residing at: B/1202, Park Royal,
Off Malviya Marg,
Off LBS Marg, Mulund(W)

] ... Complainant

V/S


MR. NANA HIRAMAN PAWAR,
Police Constable, Bakkal no. 4497,
Traffic Department, Mulund Area,
Mumbai.
Residing at : Meri Gold C,
Flat no. 001, Kailash Nagar,
MIDC Road, Ambarnath East,
Thane.

] Accused

Complaint Under Section 323,
504, 506, 177, 181, 182 of Indian
Penal Code & Under Section of 7
Prevention of Anti Corruption
Act as under.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HONOUR

1. The Complainant states that, he is a law abiding citizen and residing at the above mentioned address alongwith his family.
2. That the complainant is working as a Flight Parser in Jet Airways, he is in charge of Business Class Department of the Jet Airways flights, he is normally deputed on International Flights, having very good track record with no allegations and antecedents. That it is also a matter of record that, noted VIP personalities especially like Amitabh Bachchan sends a request to Jet Airways while traveling on flights that when he is travelling the Complainant



light
the
loon
- AE
hat,
Devi
was
me,
oad,
ing
ked
was
he
the
om
sed
hat
sed
om
nt,
:he
ial
ing
na
te,
ne,
ce
ed
he

199

should be posted as Incharge Crew Member on the said flight considering the decency and matured behavior of the complainant.

3. The complainant states that on 26/02/2013 at about 12.00 noon he was driving his Scooty Pep bearing registration no. MH 03 – AE – 6494 he was alone on his Scooty. The Complainant states that, the Complainant was at near the junction of Paach Rasta and Devi Dayal Road on M. G. Road Opp. Rasna Juice Centre and he was driving his vehicle towards station from M. G. Road. At that time, Accused Police Traffic Constable on duty standing on M. G. Road, Opp. Rasna Juice Centre suddenly stopped his vehicle by coming in between the road and asked the complainant to wait and asked for his license therefore, complainant stopped his Scooty and was showing the accused his license. The Complainant states that, he also showed his license as he has a valid license. At that time, the said accused police constable asked the complainant that from where did he come, to which the complainant told the accused that he came from Devi Dayal Road, to which accused said that way is "no entry" to which the complainant explained the accused that there is no board of No Entry and all vehicle are coming from there to which accused demanded Rs. 500/- from complainant, otherwise the accused threatened to file a case to which the complainant explained the accused that he can prepare official receipt of fine and he will pay, on this accused started shouting and abusing complainant and told the Complainant "*tu shana zala aahes ka, tula jasti kayada kalto ka, gup chup paise de, nahitar kayada kay aahes te me tula shikav tho*". At that time, another two persons came on scooter and the Accused Police Constable also asked them to wait, they stopped and the Accused i.e. Police constable again started quarreling and abusing with the



Complainant at that time, the Complainant pointed a finger at him and told him not to abuse in filthy language and he can at officially by issuing a valid receipt of fine, to which he started pushing the Complainant and when he tried to resist the said constable gave a kicked blow on his left leg. Immediately another two persons who were made to stop, came to Complainant's rescue and intervene and started requesting the said police constable to not to behave illegally to which he even started abusing and threatening them and immediately from his mobile he called for the help and forcibly took the Complainant to Mulund Police Station with the help of other police staff and filed a false complaint against the Complainant and other persons namely Hemant Kamdar and Dhiren Savla and booked them under false charge of section 353, 332, 427, 504 r/w. 34 of Indian Penal Code and 184 of Motor Vehicle Act and immediately they were arrested and detained in the lock up. [Hereto annexed is the copy of the Driving License of the Complainant and marked as Exhibit A]

4. The Complainant states that, thus, without there being any fault, the Accused i.e. Police Constable while on duty, by misusing his power and position and by making false statement has filed a false case against the Complainant and others whereas as a matter of fact without there being any fault, the Complainant, having a valid license and not driving from any no entry, illegally demanded a bribe of Rs. 500/- and when the Complainant refused to pay and asked him to act officially he started abusing, manhandling and assaulting the Complainant as he gave a kick blow and thereby he has committed various offence such as 504, 506, 323, filing false complaint and giving false information and thereby committing offence Under Section 177, 181, 182 of Indian Penal Code and also by demanding a bribe he has committed




offence under Prevention of Anti Corruption Law and therefore he needs to be prosecuted and dealt with in accordance with law.

5. The Complainant states that, on 26/02/2013 the Complainant and others were arrested on that day they requested the Mulund Police Authorities to lodge their complaint but they refused to do so, on 27/02/2013 they were produced in court and released on bail, thereafter the Complainant went to Dr. Manoj Kotak since due to the kick blow given by the Accused i.e. police constable, he was having a severe pain as just 2 months back the Complainant was operated for removal of his gall bladder, who examined him and has also issued a certificate which he is enclosing herewith and marked as Exhibit B. And thereafter since there was a pain the Complainant could not go to the Police Station and since Police Authorities had refused to take their complaint.
6. The Complainant states that, at the spot of incident there are CC TV cameras, therefore true and correct information can be ascertained about the incident and the illegalities caused and committed the said police constable.
7. That the Accused is a Public Servant and had demanded a bribe of Rs. 500/-, any public servant demanding a bribe amounts to an offence which is non bailable and cognizable. This aspect could have been investigated if after complainant filing a complaint, the officers to the rank of Commissioner of Police would have ordered inquiry in the matter because it is specifically mentioned in the complaint that, at the spot of incident there are CC TV Camera and the entire truth could have been revealed if the police would have investigated with the help of CC TV footage. Moreover, this cameras are displayed by Government and traffic department on the road near signal which are very much within their controlled

205

but merely to help the Accused who is police and public servant the complaint filed by the complainant has not been investigated.

8. The Complainant states that, thereafter he has filed a written complaint with Mulund police authorities, but they have refused to take the complaint since their own officer is involved in the offence, therefore this complainant being constrained and left with no other alternative have submitted the complaint with Commissioner of Police, Mumbai dated 28/02/2013, for the illegal and unlawful acts of the accused, which constitute the offence, that the offence caused and committed by the accused is a cognizable and non bailable offence and inspite of the complainant disclosing the same the police authorities attached to Mulund Police Station have not taken any action against the accused. Even Commissioner of Police, Mumbai also failed to direct the registration of crime moreover, the Accused named in the complaint happens to be the public servant therefore even if the order of process is granted it will require a sanction to prosecute and again the same will be delayed therefore, the only efficacious remedy remains with the complainant is to approach this Hon'ble Court for suitable direction for registration of FIR. Therefore, the complainant is constrained to file the present complaint for direction for registration of FIR as per section 156-3 of Cr. P. C. and CC TV footage (Camera) is required to be seized under panchanama, therefore true and correct information can be ascertained about the incident and the illegalities caused and committed the said police constable and also custodial interrogation of the accused is necessary for detail investigation of the illegal acts caused and committed by the accused, therefore having left with no other alternative, the complainant has approached this Hon'ble Court for seeking necessary directions to the investigation machinery to investigate the above



said crime under section 156 (3) of Cr. P. C. for the offences punishable Under Section 323, 504, 506, 177, 181, 182 of Indian Penal Code & Under Section of 7 Prevention of Anti-Corruption Act. [Hereto annexed is the copy of the said complaint dated 28/02/2013 with Commissioner of Police, Mumbai and marked as Exhibit C].

9. The complainant states that, the Police authorities have ignored the guidelines and directions of the Hon'ble Apex court's Full Bench Judgment wherein it is mandatory for the Police authorities to register the offence which discloses a cognizable offence failing which the Police authorities would face penalty from the judiciary. Hence the Investigating authority ought to have taken cognizance of the matter and it is just an incumbent for this Hon'ble court to direct the investigating authorities to register the offence.

10. The Complainant states that, entire incident had taken place at Paach-Rasta, Mulund and the said place is within the jurisdiction of Mulund Police Station, therefore, this Hon'ble Court has jurisdiction to try, entertain and dispose of the present complaint.

11. The complainant states that he shall examine the following witnesses in support of his case and further crave leave of this Hon'ble Court to examine any such further witnesses as may be deemed fit and proper:

- a. The Complainant himself
- b. Hemant Kamdar
- c. Dhiren Savla
- d. Staff of Government department in respect of CC TV footage.
- e. Officer attached to Mulund Police Station



f. Any Other witness as may be necessary.

12. The complainant state that he shall rely the following documents in support of his case and further crave leave of this Hon'ble Court to examine any such further witnesses as may be deemed fit and proper.


- a. Copy of Driving License of the Complainant.
- b. Copy of Certificate issued by Dr. Manoj Kotak
- c. Copy of the complaint dated 28/02/2013 with Commissioner of Police, Mumbai.
- d. The CC TV footage of the said spot installed by Government authority.

13. Under the circumstances, it is humbly prayed that, this Hon'ble Court be pleased to direct the police officials of Mulund Police Station to investigate the above crime under section 156 (3) of Cr. P. C. and register an FIR Under Section 323, 504, 506, 177, 181, 182 of Indian Penal Code & Under Section of 7 Prevention of Anti-Corruption Act and the accused be dealt with and punished in accordance with law.

AND FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS THE COMPLAINANT SHALL DUTY BOUND EVER PRAY.

Filed in the Court
On: 20/12/2013,
At: Mulund

[Signature]
Complainant



[Signature]
Advocate for Complainant
[Signature]

211

VERIFICATION

I, MR. SAMIP NITIN RAJANI, the Complainant hereinabove do hereby state on oath that the contents of foregoing paras are true and correct to the best of their knowledge and we have verified the same.

Filed in the court
On - 20 / 12 / 2013
At - Mumbai


[Signature]
Complainant


[Signature]
Advocate for Complainant
[Mr. H.R. Mote]

Reference
Scan Pan Card no
AJYPR 5585K
of Samip Nitin
Rajani.
[Signature]
20/11/2014



Assistant Registrar
City Civil & Sessions Court
Greater Bombay





We are/are not a member/members of the Welfare Fund therefore Stamp of Rs. 2 is/are not affixed herewith

N.B. (Strike out which is not applicable)

9 (213)

VAKALATNAMA

SPL. SESSION Judge / (J.D./S.D.) MUMBAI
In the Court of the J.M.F.C./MAGT

Suit
Appeal
Application
Cr. Case

Filed
No. 20
Date 16/11/2014

Shri. Samp. Nitin Rajawari } Plaintiff-
Appellant
Applicant-
Complainant

V/s.

Mr. Nara Hiramani Pawar } Defendant
Respondent
Opponent
Accused

I/we abovenamed

inhabitant/s of Mumbai the Complainant

in the above matter hereby appoint

Shri. J.V. Thakkar H.Mote

to appear and act for me/us as my/our Advocate/s.

Witness my/our hand this 20th day Jan 2014.

Witness

[Signature]

Accepted & Filled in Court

on 20 - 01 - 2014.

Advocate [Signature]

[Signature]

Address **JAIDEEP V. THAKKAR**
Advocate
Kelkar's Compound, Tembi Naka,
Nr. Dist. Court, Lane (W) - 400 601
Ph: 25471313

215

10

IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE AND THE SESSIONS & DISTRICT
JUDGE OF MUMBAI AT MUMBAI

OMA No. _____/2013


MR. SAMIP NITIN RAJANI] ... Complainant

V/S

MR. NANA HIRAMAN PAWAR] Accused

LIST OF DOCUMENT

- Each a. Copy of Driving License of the Complainant. - 110
- Each b. Copy of Certificate issued by Dr. Manoj Kotak - 2 403
- Each c. Copy of the complaint dated 28/02/2013 with
Commissioner of Police, Mumbai. - 445



Filed in the court
On - 26/12/2013
At - Mumbai

Advocate
Advocate for Complainant
[Mr. J. V. Patil]



217

Each A.

11

MAHARASHTRA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
 DL No. MH03 20110031424 DLV: 10-02-2003
 Valid till: 09-02-2023 (MT)

DLD: 27-05-2011
 FORM 1
 RULE 14 (D)

AUTHORIZATION TO DRIVE FOLLOWING CLASS
 OF VEHICLES THROUGHOUT INDIA

COV	DOV
MCWG	10-02-2003
LMV	10-02-2003

DOB: 27-12-1964 B.G.

Name: SANIP RAJANI
 S/O of NITIN RAJANI
 Add: 78906990, B/23, ASHA NAGAR,
 RAJA TOWER, P. K. EXTN ROAD,
 MULUND (W), MUMBAI
 PIN: 400080

Signature & ID of Issuing Authority: MH03 2011369

Signature/Thumb Impression of Holder



नंदी शावा (कित) 20/13
पोलीस ठाणे

Ech-B

Clinic : 2582 4872
Resi : 2582 0987
Mob : 9322063307

Dr. M. J. KOTAK
L. C. E. H. (Mum.)
(Reg. No. 9043)
FAMILY PHYSICIAN

Clinic : 4, Sarla Niwas, Kisan Nagar No.1,
Wagle Estate, Thane - 400 604.

Resi : F-301, Eternity, Near Tata Motors,
Teen Hath naka, Thane - 400 604.

Date : 27/2/2013

Mr. Samir Nitin Rajani m/28 yrs
6.15 PM

ClO pain in Abdomen
ClO pain in left thigh region | ∴ yesterday

H/o Assault by traffic police on 26/2/13 at
around 12 noon.

Past history of abdominal surgery in Jan 2013.
(Gall-bladder-operation)

O/E : G.C. fair
pulse 80/min BP 120/70 mm of Hg.
-tenderness in left flank & costal
region. Tenderness in right flank +
-tenderness in left thigh region.

Treatment Advised

by 400 Buprenic Pills 20
4 pills 4 hrs
full pain subsides,
later 3 times / day
(P.P.O.)



मोहनी-शाखा (कले-2)
पोलीस आयुक्तालय, मुंबई वांदेकरोड
27/2/13

212

From,
MR. SAMIP NITIN RAJANI
B 1202, Park Royal,
M. M. Malaviya Road,
Off. LBS Marg, Mulund West,
Mumbai 80
Mob. No. 9920587744

Date : 28/02/2013

To,
The Commissioner of police,
Commissionerate Mumbai.

Sub : Complaint against POLICE CONSTABLE MR. NANA HIRAMAN PAWAR, BAKKAL NO. 4497 ATTACHED TO TRAFFIC DEPARTMENT, MULUND AREA, for threats, demanding bribe, abusing manhandling, giving me a kick blow and for filing a false complaint by misusing his power and position.

Sir,

I the undersigned **MR. SAMIP NITIN RAJANI**, residing at B 1202, Park Royal, M. M. Malaviya Road, Off. LBS Marg, Mulund West, Mumbai 80, do hereby bring to your kind attention and complaint as under.

1. I say that on 26/02/2013 at about 12.00 noon I was driving my Scooty Pep bearing registration no. MH 03 - AE - 6494 I was alone on my Scooty. I was at near the junction of Paach Rasta and Devi Dayal Road on M. G. Road Opp. Rasna Juice Centre and I was driving my vehicle towards station from M. G. Road. At that time, one Police Traffic Constable on duty standing on M. G. Road, Opp. Rasna Juice Centre suddenly stopped my vehicle by coming in between the road and asked me to wait and asked for my license therefore, I stopped my Scooty and was showing him my license. I also showed my license I have a valid license. At that time, the said police constable asked me that where did I come to which I told him that I came from Devi Dayal Road, to which he said that way is "no entry" to which I explained him that there is no board of No Entry and all vehicle are coming from there to which he demanded Rs. 500/- from me, otherwise he threatened to file a case to which I explained him that he can prepare official receipt of fine and I will pay, on this he started shouting and abusing at me and told me "tu shana zala aahes ka, tula jasti kayada kalto ka, gup chup paise de, nahitar kayada kay aahes te me tula shikav tho". At that time, another two persons came on scooter and the said police constable also asked them to wait, they stopped and the said police constable again started quarreling and abusing with me at that time, I pointed a finger at him and told him not to abuse in filthy language and he can at officially by issuing a valid receipt of fine, to which he started pushing me and when I tried to resist the said constable gave a kicked blow on my left leg. Immediately another two persons who were made to stop, came to my rescue and intervene and started requesting the said police constable to not to behave illegally to which he even started abusing and threatening them and immediately from his mobile

28/02/2013
28/02/2013
C.P. number

नंदीश्री शाखा (कित-२)
पेट्रीस कॉन्स्टेबल, मुंबई पॉलीस

221

Frc
MR
B 1
M.
Off
Mu
Mo

Da

To,
Th
Co

→ Feb Cambridge 111

if pain does not subside
with some medications then


→ Adv. USG of whole abdomen

- Xray left thigh

→ Adv: Surgical opinion is
a blow injury to abdomen
can cause damage to
internal organs in view
of recent surgery.

Sir,

120
Mur
unc



[Signature]
J. KOTAK
4, Sena Road,
Wagle Estate, 1982



he called for the help and forcibly took us to Mulund Police Station with the help of other police staff and filed a false complaint against me and other persons namely Hemant Kamdar and Dhiren Savla and booked us under false charge of section 353, 332, 427, 504 r/w. 34 of Indian Penal Code and 184 of Motor Vehicle Act and immediately we were arrested and detained in the lock up.

2. I say that thus, without there being any fault, the said police constable while on duty, by misusing his power and position and by making false statement has filed a false case against me and others whereas as a matter of fact without there being any fault, I, having a valid license and not driving from any no entry, illegally demanded a bribe of Rs. 500/- and when I refused to pay and asked him to act officially he started abusing, manhandling and assaulting me as he gave a kick blow and thereby he has committed various offences such as 504, 506, 323, filing false complaint and giving false information and thereby committing offence Under Section 177, 181, 182 of Indian Penal Code and also by demanding a bribe he has committed offence under Prevention of Anti Corruption Law and therefore he needs to be prosecuted and dealt with in accordance with law.
3. I say that on 26th we were arrested on that day we requested the Mulund Police Authorities to lodge our complaint but they refused to do so, on 27th we were produced in court and released on bail, thereafter I went to Dr. Manoj Kotak since due to the kick blow given by the said police constable I was having a severe pain as just 2 months back I was operated for removal of my gall bladder, who examined me and has also issued a certificate which I am enclosing herewith. And thereafter since there was a pain I could not go to the Police Station and since Police Authorities had refused to take our complaint and I am filing this complaint with your office.
4. I say that at the spot of incident there are CC TV cameras therefore true and correct information can be ascertained about the incident and the illegalities caused and committed the said police constable.
5. Under these circumstances, I request your esteemed authorities to register an offence Under Section 504, 506, 323, 177, 181, 182 of Indian Penal Code against police constable Mr. Nara Vinayan Pawar, Bukle no. 4497 attached to Traffic Department and he be dealt with in accordance with the law.

Thanking you,
Yours faithfully

MR. SAMIP NITIN RAJAN

CC TO

1. CHIEF MINISTER OF MAHARASHTRA
2. HOME MINISTER OF MAHARASHTRA
3. ANTI CORRUPTION DEPARTMENT, MUMBAI

3/01/2014

IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE
AND THE SESSIONS & DISTRICT
JUDGE OF MUMBAI AT MUMBAI

Private Complaint
CMA No. _____/2013

M.A. NO. 18/2014

MR. SAMIP NITIN RAJANI

] ...Complainant

V/S


MR. NANA HIRAMAN PAWAR

] Accused

18/11/14
S.V. Thakker
20/11/14
S.K. Keole (45)
22/01/14
20/11/14
N

Complaint Under Section 323,
504, 506, 177, 181, 182 of Indian
Penal Code & Under Section of 7
Prevention of Anti Corruption Act

Filed in court on - 20/12/14



Certified True Copy
Dated this 19th day of Jan 2015

For Registrar,
City Sessions Court
Gr. Bombay

MR. JAIDEEP V. THAKKER
ADVOCATE FOR COMPLAINANT
Kelkar Compound, Near Thane
Dist. Court, Tembhi Naka,
Thane (W)

Checked by: Mr. Malvankar